

## WORKING PAPER

9/2020

# Edgeworth Expansions for Multivariate Random Sums

## Farrukh Javed, Nicola Loperfido and Stepan Mazur

Statistics

ISSN 1403-0586

https://www.oru.se/institutioner/handelshogskolan/forskning/working-papers/ Örebro University School of Business 701 82 Örebro SWEDEN

## Edgeworth Expansions for Multivariate Random Sums

Farrukh Javed\*

Örebro University School of Business Fakultetsgatan 1, SE-70182 Örebro, Sweden

Nicola Loperfido

Università degli Studi di Urbino "Carlo Bo" Via Saffi 42, 61029 Ürbino, Italy

Stepan Mazur

Örebro University School of Business Fakultetsgatan 1, SE-70182 Örebro, Sweden

#### Abstract

The sum of a random number of independent and identically distributed random vectors has a distribution which is not analytically tractable, in the general case. The problem has been addressed by means of asymptotic approximations embedding the number of summands in a stochastically increasing sequence. Another approach relies on fitting flexible and tractable parametric, multivariate distributions, as for example finite mixtures. In this paper we investigate both approaches within the framework of Edgeworth expansions. We derive a general formula for the fourth-order cumulants of the random sum of independent and identically distributed random vectors and show that the above mentioned asymptotic approach does not necessarily lead to valid asymptotic normal approximations. We address the problem by means of Edgeworth expansions. Both theoretical and empirical results suggest that mixtures of two multivariate normal distributions with proportional covariance matrices satisfactorily fit data generated from random sums

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

*Email addresses:* Farrukh.Javed@oru.se (Farrukh Javed), nicola.loperfido@uniurb.it (Nicola Loperfido), Stepan.Mazur@oru.se (Stepan Mazur)

where the counting random variable and the random summands are Poisson and multivariate skew-normal, respectively.

*Keywords:* Edgeworth expansion, Fourth cumulant, Random sum, Skew-normal. 2010 MSC: Primary 60K35, Secondary 60K35

#### 1. Introduction

Let  $\{\mathbf{x}_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a sequence of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) d-dimensional random vectors. Also, let N be a random variable independent of the sequence whose support is the set of nonnegative integers. Furthermore, let  $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{x}_1 + \ldots + \mathbf{x}_N$  be the sum of the first N components of the sequence, with the convention that  $\mathbf{s}$  is the d-dimensional null vector when N = 0. We refer to  $\mathbf{s}$  as to the random sum of  $\{\mathbf{x}_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  with aggregating variable N. The distributions of  $\mathbf{s}$ , N and  $\mathbf{x}_i$  are the compound, primary and secondary distributions, respectively ([23]). Random sums occur in many research fields, including physics, biology, reliability, queuing and finance ([14], [18], [19]). One such application is in actuarial science where such a compound distribution has been mainly studied as a claim model, where the random vector  $\mathbf{s}$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_i$  and N are represented as the aggregated claim, the *i*-th claim size and the claim counts, respectively ([1]). However, analytic expressions for compound distributions are available only for certain types of primary and secondary distributions ([7, Chapter 13]) and an approximation is required for a general case ([23]).

Asymptotic methods are often used to approximate the compound distributions, when the aggregating variable is expected to be high enough. Following an argument similar to the one used in central limit theorems, the aggregating variable is embedded in a sequence of stochastically increasing random variables. More precisely, let  $\{N_q, q \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a stochastically increasing sequence of random variables independent of  $\{\mathbf{x}_i, i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , whose support is the set of nonnegative integers. Also, let  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_q$  and  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_q$  be the mean vector and the positive definite covariance matrix of the q-th element  $\mathbf{s}_q$  of the sequence  $\{\mathbf{s}_q = \mathbf{x}_1 + \ldots + \mathbf{x}_{N_q}, q \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Finally, let  $\{\mathbf{z}_q = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_q^{-1/2} (\mathbf{s}_q - \boldsymbol{\mu}_q), q \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be the associated sequence of standardized random

vectors, where  $\Sigma_q^{-1/2}$  is the positive definite and symmetric square root of the inverse of  $\Sigma_q$ . Conditions for the convergence of  $\{\mathbf{z}_q, q \in \mathbb{N}\}$  to a standard normal distribution have been given in the univariate case in the pioneering work of [35]. In [19], the authors focused on geometric summation which leads to geometric stable distributions. In [10], the tail behaviour has been investigated when the secondary distribution is multivariate subexponential.

Alternatively, the compound distributions can be approximated by properly chosen parametric distributions, as it is often done in actuarial sciences. There have been many proposals for fitting univariate compund distributions with parametric ones (see [8]). For example, multivariate compound distributions have been approximated with skew-normal distributions and their generalizations (see, [6], [11]). Unfortunately, the information matrix of the distribution in [6] is singular under normality, thus preventing the use of standard likelihood-based methods for testing the hypothesis of normality ([13]), while the skew-normal distribution suffers from the inferential problems discussed in [31]. Multivariate compound distributions have also been approximated with finite mixture distributions ([4]), which may require the estimation of many parameters. For example, a mixture of k d-dimensional normal distributions is parametrized by  $k(d^2 + 3d + 2)/2$  real values, unless some simplifying assumptions are made.

In an interesting thread of research, the compound distributions can also be approximated by means of Edgeworth expansions, which possess several attractive properties ([3], [5] and [15]). The simplest, nontrivial Edgeworth expansion of a compound distribution depends on its first three cumulants, which have a simple analytical form. The third cumulant of a *d*-dimensional vector  $\mathbf{y}$  with mean  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  and finite third-order moments is the  $d^2 \times d$  matrix  $\mathbf{K}_{3,\mathbf{y}} = \mathbb{E} \left[ (\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \otimes (\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}) \otimes (\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^T \right]$ , where  $\otimes$  stands for the Kronecker product. Let  $\nu_j$  and  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_j$  (j = 1, 2, 3) be the j-th cumulants of N and  $\mathbf{x}_i$ , so that the first, second and third cumulants of  $\mathbf{s}$  are  $\mathbb{E} \left( \mathbf{s} \right) = \nu_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1$ ,  $\operatorname{Var}(\mathbf{s}) = \nu_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_2 + \nu_2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^T$  and

$$\mathbf{K}_{3,\mathbf{s}} = \nu_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_3 + \nu_2 \left( \boldsymbol{\xi}_2 \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}_2^{\mathrm{V}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{\mathrm{T}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_2 \right) + \nu_3 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_1,$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_2^{\mathrm{V}}$  is the vector obtained by stacking the columns of the matrix  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_2$  on top of each other ([27]). Note that the Edgeworth expansions are closely connected to both

asymptotic and parametric approximations. In [38], the author used Edgeworth expansions to show that the approximation of a multivariate normal distribution distribution with another distribution with the same mean vector and covariance matrix, as measured by the Kullback-Leibler divergence, tends to improve when the third cumulants of the latter distribution become negligible. In [26], the author used theoretical as well as empirical arguments based on Edgeworth expansions to show that data generated by a distribution might be satisfactorily fitted by another distribution, as long as the two distributions have the same first three cumulants.

Intuition suggests that the Edgeworth approximation of a given distribution improves when more terms are included in the approximation itself. In particular, a third-order Edgeworth approximation might be improved by including the fourthorder cumulants of the approximated distribution. Inclusion of fourth cumulants is particularly useful when the performance of the chosen statistical method heavily depends on the fourth cumulants of the sampled distribution, as exemplified by the following cases. In [30], the author showed that the performance of a likelihood test based on the erroneous assumption of normality crucially depends on a measure of multivariate kurtosis which is a simple function of fourth cumulants. Fourth cumulants of the sampled distribution impair the performance of widely used likelihood tests on covariance matrices, when normality is assumed ([40]). In [34], the authors addressed preferential sampling in spatial statistics by means of fourth cumulants. In [39], the author stressed the importance of fourth cumulants in the multivariate linear model. In [2], the authors investigated the effect of fourth cumulants on the Fisher discriminant function, and found it to be nonnegligible.

In this paper we derive a general formula for the fourth-order cumulants of the random sum of i.i.d. random vectors and show that the asymptotic approach based on stochastically increasing sequences does not necessarily lead to valid asymptotic normal approximations. We address the problem by means of Edgeworth expansions. Both theoretical and empirical arguments suggest that the distribution of a random sum where the summands and their number are skew-normal and Poisson, is satisfactorily approximated by a mixture of two multivariate normal distributions with proportional covariance matrices. Sections 2 and 3 contain the theoretical results regarding the fourth cumulant of a multivariate random sum and Mardia's measure of multivariate kurtosis. These results are used in Section 4 to highlight some difficulties in the convergence of multivariate random sums to a multivariate normal distribution, which are addressed in Section 5 by means of Edgeworth expansions. Section 6 and the Appendix contain some concluding remarks and all theorems' proofs.

#### 2. Fourth cumulant

Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_d)^{\mathrm{T}}$  be a *d*-dimensional random vector with mean vector  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_d)^{\mathrm{T}}$  and covariance matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \{\sigma_{ij}\}, i, j = 1, \ldots, d$ . We assume that the fourth moments of  $\mathbf{x}$  are finite, i.e.  $\mathbb{E}(|x_i x_j x_h x_k|) < +\infty$  for  $i, j, h, k = 1, \ldots, d$ . The fourth cumulant  $\mathcal{K}_4 = \{\kappa_{ijhk}\}$  of  $\mathbf{x}$  is the *d*-dimensional, symmetric tensor of order 4 whose elements are the fourth-order derivatives of the cumulant generating function of  $\mathbf{x}$ :  $\kappa_{ijhk} = \log \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\iota \mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x}\right)\right] / \partial t_i \partial t_j \partial t_h \partial t_k$ , where  $\iota^2 = -1$  and  $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \ldots, t_d)^{\mathrm{T}}$  is an arbitrary vector of constants. An equivalent representation of  $\kappa_{ijhk}$  is

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left(x_{i}-\mu_{i}\right)\left(x_{j}-\mu_{j}\right)\left(x_{h}-\mu_{h}\right)\left(x_{k}-\mu_{k}\right)\right]-\sigma_{ij}\sigma_{hk}-\sigma_{ih}\sigma_{jk}-\sigma_{ik}\sigma_{jh}.$$
(2.1)

The elements  $\kappa_{ijhk}$  might be arranged into the  $d^2 \times d^2$  block matrix  $\mathbf{K}_{4,\mathbf{x}} = \{\tilde{\kappa}_{pq}\}$ , where  $\tilde{\kappa}_{pq} = \log \mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(\iota \mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{x}\right)\right] / \partial t_p \partial t_q \partial \mathbf{t} \partial \mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{T}}$  for  $p, q = 1, \ldots, d$ . The matrix  $\mathbf{K}_{4,\mathbf{x}}$  is the unfolded version of  $\mathcal{K}_4$  [32] and can be represented as

$$\mathbf{K}_{4,\mathbf{x}} = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{y}^{\mathrm{T}}\right] - \left(\mathbf{I}_{d^{2}} + \mathbf{C}_{d,d}\right)\left(\mathbf{\Sigma} \otimes \mathbf{\Sigma}\right) - \mathbf{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{V}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{VT}}, \qquad (2.2)$$

where  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{V}$  is the vectorization of  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  and  $\mathbf{C}_{d,d}$  is the  $d^2 \times d^2$  commutation matrix ([28]). We refer to the matrix  $\mathbf{K}_{4,\mathbf{x}}$  as to the fourth cumulant of  $\mathbf{x}$ . Some spectral properties of  $\mathbf{K}_{4,\mathbf{x}}$  are examined by [24]. Basic properties of the fourth cumulant that can be found in [22] and [25], among others.

In the next theorem, we present the fourth cumulant of the random sum of random vectors  $\mathbf{s}$ . It is shown that the fourth cumulant of  $\mathbf{s}$  can be represented via the first fourth cumulants of the counting random variable N and the random summands  $\mathbf{x}_i$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** Let N be a nonnegative counting random variable with finite fourth moment. Also, let  $\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_N$  be i.i.d. random vectors with finite fourth moment and independent of N. Then the fourth cumulant of  $\mathbf{s}$  is

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{K}_{4,\mathbf{s}} &= \nu_{1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{4} + \nu_{2}\left[\left(\mathbf{I}_{d^{2}} + \mathbf{C}_{d,d}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}\right) + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{V}}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{VT}}\right] + \nu_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{3}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{3}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \\ &+ \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{3} + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{3}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) + \nu_{3}\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{V}}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \\ &+ \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{VT}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}\right) + \nu_{4}\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\right), \end{split}$$

where  $\nu_j$  and  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_j$  denote the *j*-th (*j* = 1, 2, 3, 4) cumulants of N and  $\mathbf{x}_i$ , respectively.

The next corollary is the direct consequence of Theorem 2.1 and considers the fourth cumulant of the random sum of random variables. This result coincides with the formula obtained in [9].

**Corollary 2.1.** Let N be a nonnegative counting random variable with finite fourth moment. Let  $x_1, \ldots, x_N$  be i.i.d. random variables with a finite fourth moment, independent of N. Then the fourth cumulant of s is

$$\kappa_{4,S} = \nu_1 \xi_4 + 3\nu_2 \xi_2^2 + 4\nu_2 \xi_1 \xi_3 + 6\nu_3 \xi_1^2 \xi_2 + \nu_4 \xi_1^4,$$

where  $\nu_j$  and  $\xi_j$  denote the j-th (j = 1, 2, 3, 4) cumulants of N and  $x_i$ , respectively.

When the summands are symmetric random vectors and the number of summands has a symmetric distribution the fourth cumulant of  $\mathbf{s}$  has a simpler form. This result is again the direct consequence of Theorem 2.1.

**Corollary 2.2.** Let N be a nonnegative counting variable with a symmetric distribution. Also, let  $\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_N$  be i.i.d. symmetric random vectors with a finite fourth moment, independent of N. Then the fourth cumulant of  $\mathbf{s}$  is

$$\mathbf{K}_{4,\mathbf{s}} = \nu_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_4 + \nu_2 \left[ \left( \mathbf{I}_{d^2} + \mathbf{C}_{d,d} \right) \left( \boldsymbol{\xi}_2 \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_2 \right) + \boldsymbol{\xi}_2^{\mathrm{V}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_2^{\mathrm{VT}} \right] + \nu_4 \left( \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{\mathrm{T}} \right).$$

A nonrandom sum of random vectors is just a random sum where the number of summands N is a degenerate random variable:  $\mathbb{P}(N = n) = 1$ . It follows that  $\nu_1 = n, \nu_2 = \nu_3 = \nu_4 = 0$  and the fourth cumulant of the random sum is just the product of the first cumulant of the number of summands and the fourth cumulant of a summand:  $\mathbf{K}_{4,\mathbf{s}} = \nu_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_4$ , consistently with ordinary properties of cumulants.

#### 3. Mardia's kurtosis

The kurtosis and the excess kurtosis of a random variable X with mean  $\mu$ , variance  $\sigma^2$  and finite fourth moment  $E(X^4)$  are often measured by its fourth standardized moment and its fourth standardized cumulant:

$$\beta_2 = \mathrm{E}\left[\left(\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^4\right], \ \gamma_2 = \beta_2 - 3.$$

As remarked by [20], the default measures of multivariate kurtosis and multivariate excess kurtosis have been proposed in [29] and [30]. Mardia's kurtosis and Mardia's excess kurtosis of a *d*-dimensional random vector  $\mathbf{x}$  with mean  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ , non-singular covariance matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$  and finite fourth-order moments are given by

$$\beta_{2,d}^{M}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}\left\{\left[(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right]^{2}\right\} \text{ and } \gamma_{2,d}^{M}(\mathbf{x}) = \beta_{2,d}^{M}(\mathbf{x}) - d(d+2).$$

[21] first represented Mardia's measures by means of moment matrices. More precisely, they showed that Mardia's kurtosis and Mardia's excess kurtosis of a random vector are the traces of its fourth standardized moment and its fourth standardized cumulant. Following the moment matrices approach in [21], we represent Mardia's kurtosis and Mardia's kurtosis of a random vector as quadratic forms involving the concentration matrix as well as the fourth central moment and the fourth cumulant. This statement is made more precise in the next theorem.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $\Sigma$ ,  $\mathbf{M}_{4,\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\mathbf{K}_{4,\mathbf{x}}$  be the variance, the fourth central moment and the fourth cumulant of the d-dimensional random vector  $\mathbf{x}$ . Then Mardia's kurtosis and Mardia's excess kurtosis are

$$\beta_{2,d}^{M}(\mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-\mathrm{VT}} \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{4,\mathbf{x}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-\mathrm{V}} \quad and \quad \gamma_{2,d}^{M}(\mathbf{x}) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-\mathrm{VT}} \mathbf{K}_{4,\mathbf{x}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-\mathrm{V}}.$$

#### 4. Asymptotic behaviour

In this section we derive a closed-form expression for the Mardia's kurtosis of the random sums of normal random vectors. It is a simple function of the first four cumulants of the aggregating variable, the Mahalanobis distance of the mean from the origin and the vector's dimension. We use this result to show that the same standardized random sum might not converge to a normal distribution, even when it is embedded in a sequence of stochastically increasing aggregating variables. For the sake of simplicity, the theorem is stated for random vectors having the identity matrix as the covariance matrix, but it can be straightforwardly generalized to any positive definite covariance matrix.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $\nu_i$  be the *i*-th cumulant of the nonnegative random variable N, for i = 1, 2, 3, 4. Also, let  $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{x}_1 + \ldots + \mathbf{x}_N$  be a random sum of independent, d-dimensional normal random vectors  $\mathbf{x}_i \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{I}_d)$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then, by letting  $q = \nu_2 \left(\nu_1^2 + \nu_1 \nu_2 \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{-1}$  the Mardia's kurtosis of  $\mathbf{s}$  is

$$\beta_{2,d}(\mathbf{s}) = \left(\nu_2 + \nu_1^2\right) \left[ 2\left(\frac{d-1}{\nu_1^2} + \frac{q^2}{\nu_2^2}\right) + \left(\frac{d}{\nu_1} - q\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^2 \right] \\ + \left(\nu_3 + \nu_1\nu_2\right) \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right) \left(\frac{2d-2}{\nu_1^2} + \frac{5q^2}{\nu_2^2}\right) + \left(\nu_4 + 3\nu_2^2\right) \frac{q^2}{\nu_2^2} \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^2.$$

Theorem 4.1 provides some insights into the asymptotic behaviour of random sums. Let  $\{N_q, q \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a stochastically increasing sequence of random variables whose support is the set of nonnegative integers and are independent of  $\{\mathbf{x}_i \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\Psi})\}$ , where  $i \in \mathbb{N}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \boldsymbol{\Psi} \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, \boldsymbol{\Psi} = \boldsymbol{\Psi}^T > 0$ . Also, let  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_q$  and  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_q$  be the mean vector and the positive definite covariance matrix of the q-th element  $\mathbf{s}_q$  in the sequence  $\{\mathbf{s}_q = \mathbf{x}_1 + \ldots + \mathbf{x}_{N_q}, q \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Finally, let  $\{\mathbf{z}_q = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_q^{-1/2} (\mathbf{s}_q - \boldsymbol{\mu}_q), q \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be the associated sequence of standardized random vectors, where  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_q^{-1/2}$  is the positive definite and symmetric square root of the inverse of  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_q$ . A necessary, but not sufficient condition for the convergence of  $\{\mathbf{z}_q, q \in \mathbb{N}\}$  to a standard normal distribution is the convergence of the sequence of Mardia's kurtosis  $\{\beta_{2,d}(s_q)\}$  to d(d+2), that is the Mardia's kurtosis of a *d*-dimensional normal vector with positive definite covariance matrix. This condition is fulfilled if  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  is a null vector. The following corollary shows that  $\{\beta_{2,d}(s_q)\}$  might not converge to d(d+2) if  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  is not a null vector, thus highlighting some differences between the convergence of random and nonrandom sums. **Corollary 4.1.** Let  $\{\mathbf{x}_i \sim \mathcal{N}_d \ (\boldsymbol{\mu} \neq \mathbf{0}_d, \mathbf{I}_d)\}\$  and  $\{a_i\}\$  be a random sequence of mutually independent random vectors and a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers, for i = 1, 2, ... Also, let  $\beta_{2,d}(\mathbf{w})$  be the Mardia's kurtosis of a d-dimensional random vector  $\mathbf{w}$ . Finally, let  $\{N_i = Y + a_i\}\$  and  $\{M_i = a_iY\}$ , where P(Y = 1) = P(Y = 2) = 0.5. Then

$$\lim_{i \to +\infty} \beta_{2,d} \left( \mathbf{x}_1 + \ldots + \mathbf{x}_{N_i} \right) = d \left( d + 2 \right) \text{ and } \lim_{i \to +\infty} \beta_{2,d} \left( \mathbf{x}_1 + \ldots + \mathbf{x}_{M_i} \right) = +\infty.$$

#### 5. Edgeworth expansions

When the normal approximation to a given distribution is not satisfactory we can improve it by means of Edgeworth expansions. We can approximate either the characteristic function or the probability density function of a random vector by means of its moments or cumulants ([22]). In particular, from Corollary 2.1.5.1 and Theorem 2.1.7 of [22], the cumulant generating function and characteristic function of **s** can be expressed as

$$\psi_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\iota^{k}}{k!} (\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{T}})^{\otimes k} \mathbf{K}_{k,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{VT}} + r_{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{t}) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\iota^{k}}{k!} (\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{T}})^{\otimes k} \mathbf{M}_{k,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{VT}} + r_{n},$$

where  $\mathbf{t}^{\otimes k}$  stands for  $\mathbf{t} \otimes \mathbf{t} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{t}$ , and  $r_n$  is the remainder term. The density function of  $\mathbf{s}$  can be expressed as

$$f_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{s}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-\iota \mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{s}} \varphi_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{t}) \mathbf{d}\mathbf{t}.$$

Utilizing the Proposition 2.1 of [21] and Theorem 1 of [25], we get the following relation between first four cumulants of s:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{M}_{1,\mathbf{s}} &= \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}}, \\ \mathbf{M}_{2,\mathbf{s}} &= \mathbf{K}_{2,\mathbf{s}} + \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{T}}, \\ \mathbf{M}_{3,\mathbf{s}} &= \mathbf{K}_{3,\mathbf{s}} + \left(\mathbf{K}_{2,\mathbf{s}} + \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \otimes \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} + \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} \otimes \left(\mathbf{K}_{2,\mathbf{s}} + \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \\ &\quad + \left(\mathbf{K}_{2,\mathbf{s}} + \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{\mathrm{V}} \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} - 2\mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}}, \\ \mathbf{M}_{4,\mathbf{s}} &= \mathbf{K}_{4,\mathbf{s}} + \left(\mathbf{I}_{d^{2}} + \mathbf{C}_{p,p}\right) \left[ \left(\mathbf{K}_{2,\mathbf{s}} + \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \otimes \left(\mathbf{K}_{2,\mathbf{s}} + \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \right] \\ &\quad + \left(\mathbf{K}_{2,\mathbf{s}} + \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{\mathrm{V}} \left(\mathbf{K}_{2,\mathbf{s}} + \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{\mathrm{VT}} \\ &\quad + \mathbf{M}_{3,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} + \mathbf{M}_{3,\mathbf{s}} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{T}} + \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{3,\mathbf{s}} + \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} \otimes \mathbf{M}_{3,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ &\quad - \left(\mathbf{K}_{2,\mathbf{s}} + \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \otimes \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{T}} - \left(\mathbf{K}_{2,\mathbf{s}} + \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{\mathrm{V}} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} \\ &\quad - \mathbf{C}_{d,d} \left(\mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \left(\mathbf{K}_{2,\mathbf{s}} + \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) - \mathbf{C}_{d,d} \left(\left(\mathbf{K}_{2,\mathbf{s}} + \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \\ &\quad - \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} \otimes \left(\mathbf{K}_{2,\mathbf{s}} + \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{\mathrm{VT}} - \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \left(\mathbf{K}_{2,\mathbf{s}} + \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \\ &\quad + 3\mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{T}}. \end{split}$$

Since the first three cumulants of  $\mathbf{s}$  are known from [27] and the fourth cumulant is delivered in Theorem 2.1, we get the following approximations of the characteristic and density functions

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{t}) \approx 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{4} \frac{\iota^{k}}{k!} (\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{T}})^{\otimes k} \mathbf{M}_{k,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{VT}} \text{ and } f_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{s}) \approx \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-\iota \mathbf{t}^{T} \mathbf{s}} \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{4} \frac{\iota^{k}}{k!} (\mathbf{t}^{\mathrm{T}})^{\otimes k} \mathbf{M}_{k,\mathbf{s}}^{\mathrm{VT}} \right) \mathbf{d} \mathbf{t}.$$

The density function of  $\mathbf{s}$  depends on d integrals, therefore, it is more natural to simplify it further. In particular, we consider the Edgeworth expansions which are based on simpler density function, say  $f_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{y})$ , with finite fourth cumulant. Following Theorem 3.2.1 of [22], we obtain the density function of  $\mathbf{s}$  which is stated in the next theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** Under the assumption of Theorem 2.1, the density function  $f_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{y})$ 

can be represented via the density function  $f_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{y})$  as follows

$$\begin{split} f_{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{y}) &\approx f_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{y}) - (\mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} - \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{y}})^{\mathrm{T}} f_{\mathbf{y}}^{1}(\mathbf{y}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left[ \mathbf{K}_{2,\mathbf{s}} - \mathbf{K}_{2,\mathbf{y}} + (\mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} - \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{y}}) (\mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} - \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{y}})^{\mathrm{T}} \right]^{\mathrm{VT}} f_{\mathbf{y}}^{2\mathrm{V}}(\mathbf{y}) \\ &- \frac{1}{6} \left[ (\mathbf{K}_{3,\mathbf{s}} - \mathbf{K}_{3,\mathbf{y}})^{\mathrm{VT}} + 3 (\mathbf{K}_{2,\mathbf{s}} - \mathbf{K}_{2,\mathbf{y}})^{\mathrm{VT}} \otimes (\mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} - \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{y}})^{\mathrm{T}} \\ &+ (\mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} - \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{y}})^{\mathrm{T}\otimes3} \right] f_{\mathbf{y}}^{3\mathrm{V}}(\mathbf{y}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{24} \left\{ (\mathbf{K}_{4,\mathbf{s}} - \mathbf{K}_{4,\mathbf{y}})^{\mathrm{VT}} + (\mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} - \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{y}})^{\mathrm{T}\otimes4} \\ &+ 6 \left[ (\mathbf{K}_{2,\mathbf{s}} - \mathbf{K}_{2,\mathbf{y}}) \otimes (\mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} - \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{y}}) (\mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} - \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{y}})^{\mathrm{T}} \right]^{\mathrm{VT}} \\ &+ 4 \left[ (\mathbf{K}_{3,\mathbf{s}} - \mathbf{K}_{3,\mathbf{y}}) \otimes (\mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{s}} - \mathbf{K}_{1,\mathbf{y}})^{T} \right]^{\mathrm{VT}} \right\} f_{\mathbf{y}}^{4\mathrm{V}}(\mathbf{y}), \end{split}$$

where  $f_{\mathbf{y}}^{k}(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{d^{k} f_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{y})}{d\mathbf{y}^{k}}, \ k = 1, 2, 3, 4.$ 

The above mentioned Edgeworth expansion is based on the density function of  $\mathbf{y}$ . Usually  $\mathbf{y}$  is taken to be normally distributed, i.e.  $\mathbf{y} \sim \mathcal{N}_d(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma})$ . The main reason for that is based on the fact that many random statistics can be well approximated by normal distribution in the asymptotic setting. Therefore, the density function of  $\mathbf{s}$  can be written via the density function of normal distribution by the Edgeworth expansion delivered in Theorem 5.1.

As remarked in [16], Edgeworth expansions might be used to approximate a distribution with a nonnormal one with the same first cumulants. [26] showed that for any random sum with Poisson agregating variable and skew-normal summands there is a mixture of two normal distributions with proportional covariance matrices having its same first three cumulants. These theoretical results suggest that the latter distribution might satisfactorily fit data generated from the former one. In order to empirically assess this conjecture we simulated 100 units from the random sum where the number of summands is Poisson with mean 2 and the summands are i.i.d. skew-normal random vectors with the null vector as the location parameter, the identity matrix as the scatter parameter and the unit vector as the shape parameter:  $\mathbf{x}_i \sim SN_d (\mathbf{0}_d, \mathbf{I}_d, \mathbf{1}_d)$ , for d = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10. The pdf of  $\mathbf{x}_i = (x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{id})^{\mathrm{T}}$ 

is

$$f(x_{i1},\ldots,x_{id}) = 2\Phi\left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} x_{ij}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{d} \phi(x_{ij}),$$

where  $\phi(\cdot)$  and  $\Phi(\cdot)$  denote the pdf and the cdf of a standard normal distribution, respectively. The simulated data are available as supplementary material to this paper. We used the R package [36] to fit a mixture model using the BIC criterion. For all the nine datasets, the chosen model was the mixture with two normal components and proportional covariance matrices. This empirical result is consistent with the theoretical ones, showing that the first three cumulants of the sampled distributions and this mixture coincide, for an appropriate choice of the parameters. Figure (1) provide further insight into the fitting of the simulated data.



Figure 1: Mixture of two normal distributions with proportional covariance matrices fitting 100 data generated from the bivariate skew-normal distribution with the null vector as the location parameter, the identity matrix as the scale parameter and the unit vector as the shape parameter.

#### 6. Concluding remarks

This paper derives the fourth cumulant of a multivariate random sum and highlights some difficulties in the asymptotic approximation of its distribution with a multivariate normal one. The paper addresses the problem by means of Edgeworth expansions. For example, the random sum of i.i.d. skew-normal random vectors with the Poisson distribution as the aggregating variable has the same first three cumulants of a mixture of two normal distributions with proportional covariance matrices, for appropriate choice of parameters. The paper shows that data generated from the former distribution might be satisfactorily fitted by the latter.

It would be interesting to know whether convergence to normality of a multivariate random sum holds for well-known choices of the summands and their number. A first choice could be the random sum of skew-normal random vectors with a Poisson random variable as the aggregating variable. A second choice could be the random sum of asymmetric generalized Laplace random vectors with a negative binomial random variable as the aggregating variable. The third cumulants of both choices have been derived by [27]. We are currently working on the derivation of their fourth cumulants.

In this paper, the fourth cumulant of a multivariate random sum is derived under several assumptions, as for example the aggregating variable and the summands being independent. More general assumptions are discussed in [9] and [27]. In particular, the aggregating mechanism might be modelled by a random vector, rather than by a random variable. At present time, the derivation of the fourth cumulant of a multivariate random sum under these more general assumptions appers to be quite a formidable task.

#### Acknowledgements

Farrukh Javed and Stepan Mazur acknowledge financial support from the internal research grants at Örebro University and from the project "Models for macro and financial economics after the financial crisis" (Dnr: P18-0201) funded by Jan Wallander and Tom Hedelius Foundation.

### Appendix

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* The mean of **s** is equal to  $\nu_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1$ . Then the fourth cumulant of **s** is given by

$$\mathbf{K}_{4,\mathbf{s}} = \underbrace{\mathbb{E}\left[\left(\mathbf{s} - \nu_{1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\right) \otimes \left(\mathbf{s} - \nu_{1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \left(\mathbf{s} - \nu_{1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\right) \otimes \left(\mathbf{s} - \nu_{1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\right]}_{\mathbf{\Theta}_{1}}_{\mathbf{\Theta}_{2}} - \underbrace{\left(\mathbf{I}_{d^{2}} + \mathbf{C}_{d,d}\right)\left(\mathrm{Var}[\mathbf{s}] \otimes \mathrm{Var}[\mathbf{s}]\right)}_{\mathbf{\Theta}_{2}} - \underbrace{\left(\mathrm{Var}[\mathbf{s}]\right)^{\mathrm{V}}\left(\mathrm{Var}[\mathbf{s}]\right)^{\mathrm{VT}}}_{\mathbf{\Theta}_{3}}.$$

$$(6.1)$$

The first component of the expression may be represented as

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{1} = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{u}\right) \otimes \left(\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{u}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \left(\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{u}\right) \otimes \left(\mathbf{w} + \mathbf{u}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}\right]$$

with  $\mathbf{w} = (\mathbf{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{\xi}_1) + \ldots + (\mathbf{x}_N - \boldsymbol{\xi}_1)$  and  $\mathbf{u} = \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 (N - \nu_1)$ . Using the standard properties of the Kronecker product [17, Chapter 16] we obtain that

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{1} &= & \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)\otimes\left(\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)\right] \\ &= & \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)+\left(\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)+\left(\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)\right. \\ &+\left(\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)+\left(\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)+\left(\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \\ &+\left(\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)+\left(\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)+\left(\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \\ &+\left(\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)+\left(\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)+\left(\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \\ &+\left(\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)+\left(\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)+\left(\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \\ &+\left(\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)+\left(\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)+\left(\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \\ &+\left(\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)\right]. \end{split}$$

Now we apply expectation on each term in the bracket

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{1} &= & \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right] \\ &+ \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\right] \\ &+ \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right] \\ &+ \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right] \\ &+ \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right] \\ &+ \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right] \\ &+ \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right] \\ &+ \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right] . \end{split}$$

First, we evaluate  $\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right]$ . Since  $\{\mathbf{x}_i\}_{i=1}^N$  and N are independently distributed we get that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}|N=n\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}|N=n\right],$$

where  $\mathbf{y}_i = \mathbf{x}_i - \boldsymbol{\xi}_1$ , i = 1, ..., n. Because  $\mathbf{y}_1, ..., \mathbf{y}_n$  are independent and identically distributed, it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{y}_{i} \otimes \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{y}_{i} \otimes \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} | N = n\right] = \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{4, \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{x}_{i} | N = n}.$$

By noticing that

$$\begin{split} \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{4,\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{x}_{i}|N=n} &= n\boldsymbol{\xi}_{4} + (\mathbf{I}_{d^{2}} + \mathbf{C}_{d,d})[(n\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}) \otimes (n\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2})] + (n\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2})^{\mathrm{V}}(n\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2})^{\mathrm{VT}} \\ &= n\boldsymbol{\xi}_{4} + n^{2}\left[(\mathbf{I}_{d^{2}} + \mathbf{C}_{d,d})(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}) + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{V}}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{VT}}\right], \end{split}$$

and by taking expectations over N we obtain that

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{bmatrix} &= \mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} | N = n \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \nu_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_4 + (\nu_2 + \nu_1^2) \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{I}_{d^2} + \mathbf{C}_{d,d}) (\boldsymbol{\xi}_2 \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_2) + \boldsymbol{\xi}_2^{\mathrm{V}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_2^{\mathrm{VT}} \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Next, we evaluate  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \right]$  starting from the conditional expectation. Applying the properties of expected values and Kronecker products we get

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}|N=n\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(n-\nu_{1}\right)|N=n\right]$$
$$= (n-\nu_{1})\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}|N=n\right]\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

The above expectation is the third cumulant of the sum  $\mathbf{x}_1 + \ldots + \mathbf{x}_n$ . The random vectors  $\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_n$  are independent and identically distributed, so that the third cumulant of their sum equals to the third cumulant of the *i*-th summand  $\mathbf{x}_i$ , multiplied by the number of summands n:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}|N=n\right]=n\left(n-\nu_{1}\right)\boldsymbol{\xi}_{3}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

By taking expectations over N we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}|N=n\right]\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[N\left(N-\nu_{1}\right)\right]\boldsymbol{\xi}_{3}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

The expectation in the right-hand side of the above equation is just the variance (that is the second cumulant) of N. Hence, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\right]=\nu_{2}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{3}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

Now we evaluate  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \right]$ , starting from the identity

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}|N=n\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\left(n-\nu_{1}\right)\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}|N=n\right],$$

which may be simplified as follows, by remembering that  $\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{a}$ , for any two vectors  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$ :

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}|N=n\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\left(n-\nu_{1}\right)|N=n\right].$$

The above expectation is the third cumulant of the sum  $\mathbf{x}_1 + \ldots + \mathbf{x}_n$ . An argument similar to the one used before leads to

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} | N = n\right]\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[N\left(N - \nu_{1}\right)\boldsymbol{\xi}_{3}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\right]$$
$$= \nu_{2}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{3}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}.$$

Next, we consider the identity,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} | N = n\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{y}_{i} \otimes \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \left(n - \nu_{1}\right) \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \left(n - \nu_{1}\right) | N = n\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{y}_{i} \otimes \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} | N = n\right] \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \left(n - \nu_{1}\right) \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \left(n - \nu_{1}\right).$$

The above expectation is the second cumulant of the sum  $\mathbf{x}_1 + \ldots + \mathbf{x}_n$ , therefore, it leads to

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}|N=n\right]\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[N(N-\nu_{1})^{2}\right]\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ = (\nu_{3}+\nu_{1}\nu_{2})\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}},$$

where the last identity follows from the relation between cumulants and moments [37, Chapter 3.12].

In order to evaluate  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \right]$ , we recall that  $\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w}\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}$  and use arguments similar to the above ones to obtain

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[N(N-\nu_{1})\right]\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{3}=\nu_{2}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{3}.$$

Next, we consider  $\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\right]$ , which can be simplified as

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}|N=n\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(n-\nu_{1}\right)\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(n-\nu_{1}\right)|N=n\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}|N=n\right]\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(n-\nu_{1}\right)\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\left(n-\nu_{1}\right)$$
$$= (n-\nu_{1})^{2}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}|N=n\right]\right)^{\mathrm{V}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}$$
$$= n(n-\nu_{1})^{2}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{V}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}$$

where we have used the fact that for any vector  $\mathbf{a}$ ,  $\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a}$  is equal to  $(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{T}})^{\mathrm{V}}$ . Now taking expectation over N would lead to

$$\mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} | N = n \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} N(N - \nu_{1})^{2} \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{V}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}$$
$$= (\nu_{3} + \nu_{1}\nu_{2})\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{V}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

Next we consider  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \right]$ . Using again the fact that  $\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{b}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{a}$ , for any two vectors  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$ , we get that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}|N=n\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{u}|N=n\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left[\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}(n-\nu_{1})\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}(n-\nu_{1})|N=n\right]$$
$$= (n-\nu_{1})^{2}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}|N=n\right]\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}$$
$$= n(n-\nu_{1})^{2}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}.$$

Taking expected value over N leads us to

$$\mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} | N = n \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} N(N - \nu_1)^2 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_2 \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_1$$
$$= (\nu_3 + \nu_1 \nu_2) \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_2 \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_1.$$

We move on to consider  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \right]$ . It holds that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}|N=n\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}(n-\nu_{1})\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}(n-\nu_{1})\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}(n-\nu_{1})|N=n\right]$$
$$= (n-\nu_{1})^{3}\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}|N=n\right]\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}=\mathbf{0}.$$

As a direct consequence, we get that  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \right] = \mathbf{0}.$ 

Next, we consider  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \right]$ . It holds that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\middle|N=n\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}(n-\nu_{1})\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}|N=n\right]$$
$$= (n-\nu_{1})\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\otimes\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}|N=n\right]$$
$$= n(n-\nu_{1})\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{3}^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

Hence, we get

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[N(N-\nu_{1})\right]\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{3}^{\mathrm{T}}=\nu_{2}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{3}^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

Now we evaluate  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \right]$ . We have that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}|N=n\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}(n-\nu_{1})\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}(n-\nu_{1})|N=n\right]$$
$$= (n-\nu_{1})^{2}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\otimes\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}|N=n\right]\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}$$
$$= n(n-\nu_{1})^{2}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} | N = n \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} N(N - \nu_1)^2 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_2 \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{\mathrm{T}}$$
$$= (\nu_3 + \nu_1 \nu_2) \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_2 \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

Next, we consider  $\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\right]$ , starting from

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}|N=n\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{u}|N=n\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}(n-\nu_{1})\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}(n-\nu_{1})|N=n\right] \\ &= (n-\nu_{1})^{2}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\otimes\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}|N=n\right]\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \\ &= n(n-\nu_{1})^{2}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{VT}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}. \end{split}$$

With expectation over N, we get

$$\mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} | N = n \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$
  
=  $\mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} N(N - \nu_1)^2 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_2^{\mathrm{VT}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_1$   
=  $(\nu_3 + \nu_1 \nu_2) \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_2^{\mathrm{VT}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_1.$ 

We move on to evaluate  $\mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \right]$ . It holds that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{u}\otimes\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{w}\otimes\mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}}|N=n\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}(n-\nu_{1})\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}(n-\nu_{1})\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}|N=n\right]$$
$$= (n-\nu_{1})^{2}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}\otimes\sum_{i=1}^{n}\mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}}|N=n\right]$$
$$= n(n-\nu_{1})^{2}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{w} \otimes \mathbf{w}^{\mathrm{T}} | N = n \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$
  
=  $\mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} N(N - \nu_1)^2 \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_2$   
=  $(\nu_3 + \nu_1 \nu_2) \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_2.$ 

Next, we consider  $\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}\right]$ , that is

$$\mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}(N-\nu_{1}) \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}(N-\nu_{1}) \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}(N-\nu_{1}) \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}(N-\nu_{1}) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \mathbb{E} \begin{bmatrix} (N-\nu_{1})^{4} \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}$$
$$= (\nu_{4} + 3\nu_{2}^{2})\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

Combining all the terms involved in  $\Theta_1$ , we finally get

$$\begin{split} \Theta_{1} &= \nu_{1} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{4} + (\nu_{2} + \nu_{1}^{2}) \left[ (\mathbf{I}_{d^{2}} + \mathbf{C}_{d,d}) (\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}) + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{V}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{VT}} \right] \\ &+ \nu_{2} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{3} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} + \nu_{2} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{3}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \\ &+ (\nu_{3} + \nu_{1} \nu_{2}) \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} + \nu_{2} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{3} + (\nu_{3} + \nu_{1} \nu_{2}) \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{V}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ &+ (\nu_{3} + \nu_{1} \nu_{2}) \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} + \mathbf{0} + \nu_{2} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{3}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ &+ (\nu_{3} + \nu_{1} \nu_{2}) \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} + (\nu_{3} + \nu_{1} \nu_{2}) \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{VT}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} + \mathbf{0} \\ &+ (\nu_{3} + \nu_{1} \nu_{2}) \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2} + \mathbf{0} + \mathbf{0} \\ &+ (\nu_{4} + 3 \nu_{2}^{2}) \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \\ &= \nu_{1} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{4} + (\nu_{2} + \nu_{1}^{2}) \left[ (\mathbf{I}_{d^{2}} + \mathbf{C}_{d,d}) (\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}) + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{V}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{VT}} \right] \\ &+ \nu_{2} \left[ \boldsymbol{\xi}_{3} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{3}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{3} + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{3}^{\mathrm{T}} \right] \\ &+ (\nu_{3} + \nu_{1} \nu_{2}) \left[ \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2} \otimes (\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}) + (\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{V}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}) \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \\ &+ (\nu_{4} + 3\nu_{2}^{2}) (\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}) \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} + (\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}) \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2} \right] \\ &+ (\nu_{4} + 3\nu_{2}^{2}) \left( \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \right) \otimes \left( \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \right) . \end{split}$$

Utilizing the results for variance of s already derived in [27], we get that

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\Theta}_2 &= \left(\mathbf{I}_{d^2} + \mathbf{C}_{d,d}\right) \left[ \left(\nu_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_2 + \nu_2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \otimes \left(\nu_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_2 + \nu_2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \right], \\ \boldsymbol{\Theta}_3 &= \left(\nu_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_2 + \nu_2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{\mathrm{V}} \left(\nu_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_2 + \nu_2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{\mathrm{VT}}. \end{split}$$

Putting all the components of equation (6.1), we finally get the expression as

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{K}_{4,\mathbf{s}} &= \nu_{1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{4} + (\nu_{2} + \nu_{1}^{2}) \left[ (\mathbf{I}_{d^{2}} + \mathbf{C}_{d,d}) (\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}) + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{V}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{VT}} \right] \\ &+ \nu_{2} \left[ \boldsymbol{\xi}_{3} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{3}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{3} + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{3}^{\mathrm{T}} \right] \\ &+ (\nu_{3} + \nu_{1}\nu_{2}) \left[ \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2} \otimes (\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}) + (\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{V}}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}) \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \right. \\ &+ \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} + (\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{VT}}) \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1} + (\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}) \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2} \right] \\ &+ (\nu_{4} + 3\nu_{2}^{2}) \left( \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \right) \otimes \left( \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \right) \\ &- (\mathbf{I}_{d^{2}} + \mathbf{C}_{d,d}) \left[ (\nu_{1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2} + \nu_{2}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}) \otimes (\nu_{1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2} + \nu_{2}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}) \right] \\ &- \left( \nu_{1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2} + \nu_{2}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \right)^{\mathrm{V}} \left( \nu_{1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2} + \nu_{2}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} \right)^{\mathrm{VT}}. \end{split}$$

Standard matrix algebra yields the identities

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_2 + \nu_2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{\mathrm{T}} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_2 + \nu_2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{\mathrm{T}} \end{pmatrix} = \nu_1^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_2 \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_2 + \nu_1 \nu_2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_2 \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{\mathrm{T}} + \nu_1 \nu_2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_2 \\ + \nu_2^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{\mathrm{T}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\nu_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_2 + \nu_2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{\mathrm{V}} \left(\nu_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_2 + \nu_2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{\mathrm{VT}} &= \nu_1^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_2^{\mathrm{V}} \boldsymbol{\xi}_2^{\mathrm{VT}} + \nu_1 \nu_2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_2^{\mathrm{V}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{\mathrm{T}} \\ &+ \nu_1 \nu_2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_2^{\mathrm{V}} + \nu_2^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_1 \boldsymbol{\xi}_1^{\mathrm{T}}. \end{aligned}$$

Straightforward application of basic properties of the commutation matrix ([22, p. 80]) yields the identities

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{C}_{d,d}\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) &= \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}, \ \mathbf{C}_{d,d}\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}\right) = \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}, \\ \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{V}}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} &= \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{V}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}} = \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{V}}\otimes\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{\mathrm{VT}} = \mathbf{C}_{d,d}\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{V}}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\right), \\ \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{V}} &= \boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{V}} = \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{\mathrm{VT}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{V}} = \mathbf{C}_{d,d}\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)\boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}^{\mathrm{V}}\right]. \end{split}$$

Putting all above together we obtain the expression for the fourth cumulant stated in the theorem.  $\hfill \Box$ 

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Let  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1/2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})$  be the standardized version of  $\mathbf{x}$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  is the mean of  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1/2}$  is the symmetric, positive definite square root of the concentration matrix  $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}$ :

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1/2} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-T/2}, \ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1/2} > 0, \ \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1/2} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}$$

The Mardia's kurtosis of  $\mathbf{x}$  coincides with the trace of the fourth moment of  $\mathbf{z}$  [21]:

$$\beta_{2,d}^M(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{tr}\left[\mathbf{M}_{4,\mathbf{z}}\right]$$

The trace of the fourth moment  $\mathbf{M}_{4,\mathbf{w}}$  of any *d*-dimensional random vector  $\mathbf{w}$  admits the representation  $\mathbf{I}_d^{\text{VT}}\mathbf{M}_{4,\mathbf{w}}\mathbf{I}_d^{\text{V}}$ , where  $\mathbf{I}_d$  is the *d*-dimensional identity matrix [24]. Also, the fourth moment of  $\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{w}$  is

$$\left(\mathbf{Q}\otimes\mathbf{Q}
ight)\mathbf{M}_{4,\mathbf{w}}\left(\mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{T}}
ight),$$

where  $\mathbf{Q}$  is a  $k \times d$  real matrix [12]. Hence, the fourth moment  $\mathbf{M}_{4,\mathbf{z}}$  of  $\mathbf{z}$  is a simple function of the fourth moment of  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}$ , i.e. the fourth central moment of  $\mathbf{x}$ :

$$\mathbf{M}_{4,\mathbf{z}} = \left( \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1/2} \otimes \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1/2} 
ight) \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{4,\mathbf{x}} \left( \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1/2} \otimes \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1/2} 
ight).$$

We recall a fundamental property of the tensor product and the vectorization operator (see, for example, [33, page 201]):

$$\left(\mathbf{ABC}\right)^{\mathrm{V}} = \left(\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{A}\right) \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{V}},$$

where  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^q$ ,  $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^q \times \mathbb{R}^r$  and  $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s$ . This property and the identity  $\Sigma^{-1/2} \Sigma^{-1/2} = \Sigma^{-1}$  imply

$$\Sigma^{-\mathrm{V}} = \left(\Sigma^{-1/2} \otimes \Sigma^{-1/2}\right) \mathbf{I}_d^{\mathrm{V}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma^{-\mathrm{VT}} = \mathbf{I}_d^{\mathrm{VT}} \left(\Sigma^{-1/2} \otimes \Sigma^{-1/2}\right).$$

Hence we have  $\beta_{2,d}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\mathrm{VT}} \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{4,\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\mathrm{V}}$  and this completes the first part of the proof.

We now prove the second part of the theorem. Let  $\gamma_i$  and  $\sigma_i$  be the *i*-th columns of  $\Sigma^{-1}$  and  $\Sigma$ , so that

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-\mathrm{VT}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{V}} = \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{1} + \cdots + \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{d}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{d} = d.$$

The last equality is a direct consequence of  $\Sigma^{-1}$  being the inverse of  $\Sigma$ :  $\gamma_1^{\mathrm{T}} \sigma_1 = 1$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, d$ . The same property of  $\Sigma^{-1}$ , together with the above mentioned property of the vectorization operator and the tensor product imply

$$\Sigma^{-\mathrm{VT}} \left( \Sigma \otimes \Sigma \right) \Sigma^{-\mathrm{V}} = \Sigma^{-\mathrm{VT}} \left( \Sigma \Sigma^{-1} \Sigma \right)^{\mathrm{V}} = \Sigma^{-\mathrm{VT}} \Sigma^{\mathrm{V}} = d.$$

We now recall two properties of the commutation matrix  $\mathbf{C}_{p,q} \in \mathbb{R}^{pq} \times \mathbb{R}^{pq}$  [28]:  $\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{TV}} = \mathbf{C}_{p,q} \mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{V}}$  for any  $p \times q$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{C}_{p,q}^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{C}_{q,p}$ . These properties and the symmetry of  $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}$  imply  $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\mathrm{VT}} \mathbf{C}_{d,d} = \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\mathrm{VT}}$ .

The fourth cumulant  $\mathbf{K}_{4,\mathbf{x}}$  of  $\mathbf{x}$  is a function of its variance  $\Sigma$  and its fourth central moment  $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{4,\mathbf{x}}$ :

$$\mathbf{K}_{4,\mathbf{x}} = \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{4,\mathbf{x}} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{V}} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{VT}} - \left(\mathbf{I}_{d^2} + \mathbf{C}_{d,d}\right) \left(\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \otimes \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\right),$$

where  $\mathbf{I}_{d^2}$  is the  $d^2$ -dimensional identity matrix (see, for example, Kollo [20]). The identities

$$\beta_{2,d} (\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\mathrm{VT}} \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{4,\mathbf{x}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\mathrm{V}}, \quad \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\mathrm{VT}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{V}} = d,$$
$$\mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\mathrm{VT}} \mathbf{C}_{d,d} = \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\mathrm{VT}} \text{ and } \quad \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\mathrm{VT}} \left(\mathbf{\Sigma} \otimes \mathbf{\Sigma}\right) \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\mathrm{V}} = d$$

which appear in previous part of the proof lead to

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-\mathrm{VT}}\mathbf{K}_{4,\mathbf{x}}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-\mathrm{V}} = \beta_{2,d}\left(\mathbf{x}\right) - d\left(d+2\right).$$

The right-hand side of the identity is just Mardia's excess kurtosis of  $\mathbf{x}$  and this completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We first recall some fundamental properties of the Kronecker product and the vectorization operator (see, for example, [33, p. 194-201]): (P1) the Kronecker product is associative:  $(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}) \otimes \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{A} \otimes (\mathbf{B} \otimes \mathbf{C}) = \mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B} \otimes \mathbf{C}$ ; (P2) if matrices  $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $\mathbf{B}$ ,  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{D}$  are of appropriate size, then  $(\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}) (\mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{D}) =$  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{C} \otimes \mathbf{B}\mathbf{D}$ ; (P3)  $\mathbf{A} = c\mathbf{A} = c \otimes \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A} \otimes c$ , where  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^q$ ; (P4)  $(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{C})^{\mathrm{V}} = (\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{V}}$ , where  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^q$ ,  $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^q \times \mathbb{R}^r$  and  $\mathbf{C} \in \mathbb{R}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s$ ; (P5)  $(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{T}})^{\mathrm{V}} = (\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{T}})^{\mathrm{V}} = (\mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{a})^{\mathrm{V}} = (\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a})^{\mathrm{V}} = (\mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{T}})^{\mathrm{V}} = \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{a}$ , where  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ . The variance of **s** is  $\Sigma = \nu_1 \mathbf{I}_d + \nu_2 \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}$  (see [27]). By the Sherman-Morrison formula, the inverse of  $\Sigma$  is

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\nu_1} \mathbf{I}_d - \frac{\nu_2 \mathbf{I}_d \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{I}_d}{\nu_1^2 + \nu_1 \nu_2 \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{I}_d \boldsymbol{\mu}} = \frac{1}{\nu_1} \mathbf{I}_d - q \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}.$$

The following identities will be used several times in the proof:

$$\frac{1}{\nu_1} - q\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu} = \frac{1}{\nu_1} - \frac{\nu_2 \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}}{\nu_1^2 + \nu_1 \nu_2 \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \frac{\nu_1^2 + \nu_1 \nu_2 \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu} - \nu_1 \nu_2 \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}}{\nu_1^3 + \nu_1^2 \nu_2 \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \frac{\nu_1 q}{\nu_2}$$

By Theorem 2.1, the fourth central moment of s is

$$\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{4,\mathbf{s}} = \left(\nu_2 + \nu_1^2\right) \left(\mathbf{C}_{d,d} + \mathbf{I}_{d^2} + \mathbf{I}_d^{\mathrm{V}} \mathbf{I}_d^{\mathrm{V}}\right) + \left(\nu_3 + \nu_1 \nu_2\right) \left[\mathbf{I}_d \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} + \mathbf{I}_d^{\mathrm{V}} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} + \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{I}_d \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} + \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{I}_d^{\mathrm{VT}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{I}_d \right] + \left(\nu_4 + 3\nu_2^2\right) \left(\boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\right).$$

By Lemma 3.1 the Mardia's kurtosis of  $\mathbf{s}$  is

$$\beta_{2,d} \left( \mathbf{s} \right) = \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\mathrm{VT}} \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{4,\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-\mathrm{V}} = \left( \nu_2 + \nu_1^2 \right) Q_1 + \left( \nu_3 + \nu_1 \nu_2 \right) \left( Q_2 + 2Q_3 + 2Q_4 \right) + \left( \nu_4 + 3\nu_2^2 \right) Q_5,$$

where  $Q_1 = \Sigma^{-\text{VT}} \left( \mathbf{C}_{d,d} + \mathbf{I}_{d^2} + \mathbf{I}_d^{\text{V}} \mathbf{I}_d^{\text{VT}} \right) \Sigma^{-\text{V}}, Q_2 = \Sigma^{-\text{VT}} \left( \mathbf{I}_d \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\text{T}} \right) \Sigma^{-\text{V}}, Q_3 = \Sigma^{-\text{VT}} \left( \mathbf{I}_d^{\text{V}} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\text{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\text{T}} \right) \Sigma^{-\text{V}}, Q_4 = \Sigma^{-\text{VT}} \left( \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\text{T}} \otimes \mathbf{I}_d \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu} \right) \Sigma^{-\text{V}} \text{ and } Q_5 = \Sigma^{-\text{VT}} \left( \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\text{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\text{T}} \right) \Sigma^{-\text{V}}$ might be simplified by means of properties (P1)-(P5).

We first evaluate the quadratic form

$$Q_1 = \left(\frac{1}{\nu_1}\mathbf{I}_d^{\mathrm{VT}} - q\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \left(\mathbf{C}_{d,d} + \mathbf{I}_{d^2} + \mathbf{I}_d^{\mathrm{V}}\mathbf{I}_d^{\mathrm{VT}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\nu_1}\mathbf{I}_d^{\mathrm{V}} - q\boldsymbol{\mu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}\right).$$

The  $d^2 \times d^2$  commutation matrix  $\mathbf{C}_{d,d}$  satisfies the identity  $\mathbf{C}_{d,d}\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{V}} = \mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{TV}}$  for any  $d \times d$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  (see [28]), so that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\nu_{1}} \mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{VT}} - q\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{d,d} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\nu_{1}} \mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{V}} - q\boldsymbol{\mu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\nu_{1}} \mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{VT}} - q\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\nu_{1}} \mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{V}} - q\boldsymbol{\mu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\nu_{1}^{2}} \mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{VT}} \mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{V}} - \frac{2q}{\nu_{1}} \left( \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \right) \mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{V}} + q^{2} \left( \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \right) \left( \boldsymbol{\mu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu} \right) = \frac{d}{\nu_{1}^{2}} - \frac{2q}{\nu_{1}} \left( \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \right) + q^{2} \left( \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \right)^{2} \cdot q^{2} \cdot q$$

A little algebra and the identity  $v_1^{-1} - q \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\mu} = q v_1 \nu_2^{-1}$  yield

$$\left(\frac{1}{\nu_1}\mathbf{I}_d^{\mathrm{VT}} - q\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \mathbf{C}_{d,d} \left(\frac{1}{\nu_1}\mathbf{I}_d^{\mathrm{V}} - q\boldsymbol{\mu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}\right) = \frac{d-1}{\nu_1^2} + \left(\frac{1}{\nu_1} - q\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^2 = \frac{d-1}{\nu_1^2} + \frac{q^2}{\nu_2^2}.$$

In a similar way it can be shown that

$$\left(\frac{1}{\nu_{1}}\mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{VT}}-q\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)\mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{V}}\mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{VT}}\left(\frac{1}{\nu_{1}}\mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{V}}-q\boldsymbol{\mu}\otimes\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)=\left(\frac{d}{\nu_{1}}-q\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{2},$$

thus leading to the simplified expression

$$Q_1 = 2\left(\frac{d-1}{\nu_1^2} + \frac{q^2}{\nu_2^2}\right) + \left(\frac{d}{\nu_1} - q\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^2$$

We now simplify the expression of the quadratic form

$$Q_2 = \left(\frac{1}{\nu_1}\mathbf{I}_d^{\mathrm{VT}} - q\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \left(\mathbf{I}_d \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\nu_1}\mathbf{I}_d^{\mathrm{V}} - q\boldsymbol{\mu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}\right).$$

Repeated application of properties (P1)-(P5) yield

$$Q_{2} = \frac{1}{\nu_{1}^{2}} \mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{VT}} \left( \mathbf{I}_{d} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \right) \mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{V}} + q^{2} \left( \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \right) \left( \mathbf{I}_{d} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \right) \left( \boldsymbol{\mu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu} \right) - \frac{2q}{\nu_{1}} \left( \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \right) \left( \mathbf{I}_{d} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \right) \mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{V}}$$

$$= \mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{VT}} \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}}{\nu_{1}^{2}} + q^{2} \left( \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \right) \left( \boldsymbol{\mu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \right) - \frac{2q}{\nu_{1}} \left( \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \right) \left( \boldsymbol{\mu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu} \right)$$

$$= \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\mu}}{\nu_{1}^{2}} + q^{2} \left( \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \right)^{3} - \frac{2q}{\nu_{1}} \left( \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \right)^{2}.$$

Further application of the identity  $v_1^{-1} - q \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\mu} = q v_1 \nu_2^{-1}$  yields

$$Q_2 = (\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}) \left(\frac{1}{\nu_1} - q\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^2 = \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}}{(\nu_1 + \nu_2\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu})^2} = \frac{q^2}{\nu_2^2}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}.$$

The following identities allow for a simpler expression of  $Q_3$ :

$$\left(\mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{V}}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}
ight)\mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{V}}=\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{I}_{d}\boldsymbol{\mu}\mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{VT}}
ight)^{\mathrm{V}}=\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}
ight)\left(\mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{VT}}
ight)^{\mathrm{V}}=\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}
ight)\mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{V}}$$

We can then represent  $Q_3$  as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\nu_{1}}\mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{VT}}-q\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \end{pmatrix} \left(\mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{V}}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\nu_{1}}\mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{V}}-q\boldsymbol{\mu}\otimes\boldsymbol{\mu}\right) = \frac{1}{\nu_{1}^{2}}\mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{VT}}\left(\mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{V}}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{V}} - \frac{2q}{\nu_{1}}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)\left(\mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{V}}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)\mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{V}}+q^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)\left(\mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{V}}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}\otimes\boldsymbol{\mu}\right) = \frac{1}{\nu_{1}^{2}}\mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{VT}}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)\mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{V}}-\frac{2q}{\nu_{1}}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)\mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{V}}+q^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)\left(\mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{V}}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\otimes\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right) = \frac{d}{\nu_{1}^{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)-\frac{2q}{\nu_{1}}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{2}+q^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{3}=\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)\left[\frac{d}{\nu_{1}^{2}}-\frac{2q}{\nu_{1}}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)+q^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{2}\right] = \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)\left(\frac{d-1}{\nu_{1}^{2}}+\frac{q^{2}}{\nu_{2}^{2}}\right) \\ = \frac{\left(d-1\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)}{\nu_{1}^{2}}+\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)\left[\frac{1}{\nu_{1}^{2}}-\frac{2q}{\nu_{1}}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)+q^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{2}\right] = \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)\left(\frac{d-1}{\nu_{1}^{2}}+\frac{q^{2}}{\nu_{2}^{2}}\right)$$

A simpler expression of  $Q_4 = \left(\nu_1^{-1}\mathbf{I}_d^{\mathrm{VT}} - q\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{I}_d \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}\right) \left(\nu_1^{-1}\mathbf{I}_d^{\mathrm{V}} - q\boldsymbol{\mu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}\right)$ might be derived using the identities

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{d} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{V}} = \left[ (\mathbf{I}_{d} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}) \, \mathbf{I}_{d} \boldsymbol{\mu} \right]^{\mathrm{V}} = \left[ (\mathbf{I}_{d} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}) \, \boldsymbol{\mu} \right]^{\mathrm{V}} = \left[ (\mathbf{I}_{d} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}) \, (\boldsymbol{\mu} \otimes 1) \right]^{\mathrm{V}} = \boldsymbol{\mu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu},$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{d} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu} \end{pmatrix} (\boldsymbol{\mu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}) = \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \otimes (\mathbf{I}_{d} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}) \, \boldsymbol{\mu} = \left( \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \right) (\mathbf{I}_{d} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}) \, (\boldsymbol{\mu} \otimes 1) = \left( \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \right) (\boldsymbol{\mu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}).$$

We can then represent  $Q_4$  as

$$\frac{1}{\nu_{1}^{2}}\mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{VT}}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{I}_{d}\otimes\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)\mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{V}}-\frac{2q}{\nu_{1}}\mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{VT}}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{I}_{d}\otimes\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}\otimes\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)+q^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\otimes\mathbf{I}_{d}\otimes\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}\otimes\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)=\frac{1}{\nu_{1}^{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)-\frac{2q}{\nu_{1}}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{2}+q^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{3}=\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\nu_{1}}-q\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{2}=\frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}}{\left(\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{2}}=\frac{q^{2}}{\nu_{2}^{2}}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right).$$

We evaluate  $Q_5$  in a similar way:

$$Q_{5} = \left(\frac{1}{\nu_{1}}\mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{VT}} - q\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\nu_{1}}\mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{V}} - q\boldsymbol{\mu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}\right)$$
  
$$= \frac{1}{\nu_{1}^{2}}\mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{VT}} \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{V}} - \frac{2q}{\nu_{1}}\mathbf{I}_{d}^{\mathrm{VT}} \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \left(\boldsymbol{\mu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}\right)$$
  
$$+ q^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \left(\boldsymbol{\mu} \otimes \boldsymbol{\mu}\right)$$
  
$$= \frac{\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{2}}{\nu_{1}^{2}} - \frac{2q}{\nu_{1}} \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{3} + q^{2} \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{4}$$
  
$$= \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\nu_{1}} - q\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{2} = \frac{q^{2}}{\nu_{2}^{2}} \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{2}.$$

We conclude the proof by reprenting the Mardia's kurtosis of  $\mathbf{s}$  by means of the simplified expressions for recalling the definitions of  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$ ,  $Q_3$ ,  $Q_4$  and  $Q_5$ :

$$\beta_{2,d} (\mathbf{s}) = \left(\nu_2 + \nu_1^2\right) \left[ 2 \left( \frac{d-1}{\nu_1^2} + \frac{q^2}{\nu_2^2} \right) + \left( \frac{d}{\nu_1} - q \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \right)^2 \right] \\ + \left(\nu_3 + \nu_1 \nu_2\right) \left( \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \right) \left( \frac{2d-2}{\nu_1^2} + \frac{5q^2}{\nu_2^2} \right) + \left(\nu_4 + 3\nu_2^2\right) \frac{q^2}{\nu_2^2} \left( \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \right)^2.$$

Proof of Corollary 4.1. We first prove the theorem for  $\{N_i = Y + a_i\}$ . The first four cumulants of Y are  $\mathbb{E}(Y) = 1.5$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[(Y - 1.5)^2] = 0.25$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[(Y - 1.5)^3] = 0$  and  $\mathbb{E}[(Y - 1.5)^4] - 3\mathbb{E}^2[(Y - 1.5)^2] = -0.125$ . As a direct consequence, the first four cumulants of  $N_i$  are  $\nu_{i,1} = a_i + 1.5$ ,  $\nu_{i,2} = 0.25$ ,  $\nu_{i,3} = 0$ ,  $\nu_{i,4} = -0.125$ . Let  $q_i = \nu_{i,2} \left(\nu_{i,1}^2 + \nu_{i,1}\nu_{i,2}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{-1}$ , so that

$$q_i = \frac{1}{4\nu_{i,1}^2 + \nu_{i,1}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}}$$
 and  $\lim_{i \longrightarrow +\infty} q_i \cdot \nu_{i,1}^2 = \frac{1}{4}$ .

The Mardia's kurtosis  $\beta_{2,d} (\mathbf{x}_1 + \ldots + \mathbf{x}_{N_i})$  of  $\mathbf{x}_1 + \ldots + \mathbf{x}_{N_i}$  is then

$$\left(0.25 + \nu_{i,1}^{2}\right) \left[2\left(\frac{d-1}{\nu_{i,1}^{2}} + 16q_{i}^{2}\right) + \left(\frac{d}{\nu_{i,1}} - q_{i}\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{2}\right] + \nu_{i,1}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)\left(\frac{d-1}{2\nu_{i,1}^{2}} + 20q_{i}^{2}\right) + q_{i}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{2}\right) + q_{i}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{2} + 2q_{i}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{2} + 2q_{i}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{2}\right) + q_{i}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{2} + 2q_{i}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{2} + 2q_{i}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}}\boldsymbol{$$

The definitions of  $q_i$  and  $\nu_{i,1}$ , together with standard calculus techniques, yield

$$\lim_{i \to +\infty} \beta_{2,d} \left( \mathbf{x}_1 + \ldots + \mathbf{x}_{N_i} \right) = d \left( d + 2 \right)$$

We now prove the theorem for  $\{M_i = a_i Y\}$ . The first four cumulants of  $M_i$  are  $\eta_{i,1} = 1.5a_i, \eta_{i,2} = 0.25a_i^2, \eta_{i,3} = 0$  and  $\eta_{i,4} = -0.125a_i^4$ . Let  $r_i = \eta_{i,2} \left(\eta_{i,1}^2 + \eta_{i,1}\eta_{i,2}\boldsymbol{\mu}^T\boldsymbol{\mu}\right)^{-1}$ , so that

$$r_i = \frac{1}{a_i (2.25 + 0.375 \cdot \mu^{\mathrm{T}} \mu)}$$
 and  $\lim_{i \to +\infty} q_i \cdot \nu_{i,1} = \frac{5}{3}$ 

By Theorem 4.1, the Mardia's kurtosis of  $\mathbf{x}_1 + \ldots + \mathbf{x}_{M_i}$  is

$$\beta_{2,d} \left( \mathbf{x}_{1} + \ldots + \mathbf{x}_{M_{i}} \right) = \left( \eta_{i,2} + \eta_{i,1}^{2} \right) \left[ 2 \left( \frac{d-1}{\eta_{i,1}^{2}} + \frac{r_{i}^{2}}{\eta_{i,2}^{2}} \right) + \left( \frac{d}{\eta_{i,1}} - r_{i} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \right)^{2} \right] \\ + \left( \eta_{i,3} + \eta_{i,1} \eta_{i,2} \right) \left( \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \right) \left( \frac{2d-2}{\eta_{i,1}^{2}} + \frac{5r_{i}^{2}}{\eta_{i,2}^{2}} \right) + \left( \eta_{i,4} + 3\eta_{i,2}^{2} \right) \frac{r_{i}^{2}}{\eta_{i,2}^{2}} \left( \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \right)^{2}.$$

By recalling the definitions of  $\eta_{i,1}$ ,  $\eta_{i,2}$ ,  $\eta_{i,3}$  and  $\eta_{i,4}$  the Mardia's kurtosis of  $\mathbf{x}_1 + \ldots + \mathbf{x}_{M_i}$  might be simplified into

$$2.5 \left[ 2 \left( \frac{d-1}{2.25} + \frac{r_i^2}{0.0625} \right) + \left( \frac{d}{1.5} - \frac{r_i}{a_i} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \right)^2 \right] + \\ 0.375a_{i,1} \left( \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \right) \left( \frac{2d-2}{2.25} + \frac{5r_i^2}{0.0625} \right) + r_i^2 \left( \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{\mu} \right)^2.$$

The assumption  $\boldsymbol{\mu} \neq \mathbf{0}_d$ , the definitions of  $r_i$  and  $\eta_{i,1}$ , together with standard calculus techniques, yield

$$\lim_{i \to +\infty} \beta_{2,d} \left( \mathbf{x}_1 + \ldots + \mathbf{x}_{M_i} \right) = +\infty.$$

#### References

- [1] Ambagaspitiya, R. S. (1999). On the distributions of two classes of correlated aggregate claims. Insurance: Mathematics and Economics, 24(3):301 308.
- [2] Arevalillo, J. and Navarro, H. (2012). A study of the e ect of kurtosis on discriminant analysis under elliptical populations. <u>Journal of Multivariate Analysis</u>, 107:53–63.
- [3] Barndorff-Nielsen and Cox, D. R. (1979). Edgeworth and saddle-point approximations with statistical applications. <u>Journal of the Royal Statistical Society</u>. Series B (Methodological), 41(3):279–312.
- [4] Bernardi, M., Maruotti, A., and Petrella, L. (2012). Skew mixture models for loss distributions: A bayesian approach. <u>Insurance: Mathematics and Economics</u>, 51:617–623.
- [5] Bhattacharya, R. N. and Ghosh, J. K. (1978). On the validity of the formal Edgeworth expansion. Annals of Statistics, 6(2):434–451.
- [6] Bolance, C., Guillen, M., Pelican, E., and Vernic, R. (2008). Skewed bivariate models and nonparametric estimation for the cte risk measure. <u>Insurance</u>: Mathematics and Economics, 43:386–393.
- [7] Bowers, N., Gerber, H., Hickman, J., Jones, D., and Nesbitt, C. (1997). <u>Actuarial</u> Mathematics. Society of Actuaries, Schaumburg, IL, 2nd edition.
- [8] Burnecki, K., Janczura, J., and Weron, R. (2011). Building loss models. In Cizek, P., Härdle, W., and Weron, R., editors, <u>Statistical Tools for Finance and</u> Insurance. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.

- [9] Cummins, D. and Wiltbank, L. (1983). Estimating the total claims distribution using multivariate frequency and severity distributions. <u>The Journal of Risk and</u> Insurance, 50:377–403.
- [10] Daley, D. J., Omey, E., and Vesilo, R. (2007). The tail behaviour of a random sum of subexponential random variables and vectors. Extremes, 10:21–39.
- [11] Eling, M. (2012). Fitting insurance claims to skewed distributions: are the skewnormal and skew-student good models? <u>Insurance: Mathematics and Economics</u>, 51:239–248.
- [12] Franceschini, C. and Loperfido, N. (2012). On some inequalities between measures of multivariate kurtosis, with application to financial returns. In Perna, C. and Sibillo, M., editors, <u>Mathematical and Statistical Methods for Actuarial Sciences and Finance</u>, pages 211–218. Springer.
- [13] Franceschini, C. and Loperfido, N. (2014). Testing for normality when the sampled distribution is extended skew-normal. In Corazza, M. and Pizzi, C., editors, <u>Mathematical and Statistical Methods for Actuarial Sciences and Finance</u>, pages 159–170. Springer.
- [14] Gnedenko, B. and Korolev, V. (1996). <u>Random Summation: Limit Theorems</u> and Applications. CRC Press, 1st edition.
- [15] Gray, H. L., Coberly, W. A., and Lewis, T. O. (1975). On Edgeworth expansions with unknown cumulants. The Annals of Statistics, 3(3):741–746.
- [16] Gupta, A. and Kollo, T. (2003). Density expansions based on the multivariate skew normal distribution. <u>Sankhya: The Indian Journal of Statistics</u>, 65(4):821– 835.
- [17] Harville, D. A. (1997). <u>Matrix Algebra from Statistician's Perspective</u>. Springer, New York.
- [18] Kalashnikov, V. (1997). <u>Geometric Sums: Bounds for Rare Events with</u> Applications. Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht.

- [19] Klebanov, L. B., Kozubowski, T. J., and Rachev, S. T. (2006). <u>Ill-Posed</u> <u>Problems in Probability and Stability of Random Sums</u>. Nova Science Publishers Inc, New York.
- [20] Kollo, T. (2008). Multivariate skewness and kurtosis measures with an application in ICA. Journal of Multivariate Analysis, 99:2328–2338.
- [21] Kollo, T. and Srivastava, M. S. (2004). Estimation and testing of parameters in multivariate Laplace distribution. <u>Communication in Statistics - Theory and</u> Methods, 33(10):2363–2387.
- [22] Kollo, T. and von Rosen, D. (2005). <u>Advanced Multivariate Statistics with</u> Matrices. Springer, Dordrecht.
- [23] Lin, X. S. (2006). <u>Encyclopedia of actuarial science</u>, chapter Compound distributions, pages 314–317. Wiley.
- [24] Loperfido, N. (2011). Spectral analysis of the fourth moment matrix. <u>Linear</u> Algebra and its Applications, 435:1837–1844.
- [25] Loperfido, N. (2014). A note on the fourth cumulant of a finite mixture distribution. Journal of Multivariate Analysis, 123:386–394.
- [26] Loperfido, N. (2019). Finite mixtures, projection pursuit and tensor rank: a triangulation. Advances in Data Analysis and Classification, 31:145–173.
- [27] Loperfido, N., Mazur, S., and Podgórski, K. (2018). Third cumulant for multivariate aggregate claim models. Scandinavian Actuarial Journal, 2018(2):109–128.
- [28] Magnus, J. and Neudecker, H. (1979). The commutation matrix: some properties and applications. Annals of Statistics, 7:381–394.
- [29] Mardia, K. V. (1970). Measures of multivariate skewness and kurtosis with applications. Biometrika, 57:519–530.

- [30] Mardia, K. V. (1974). Applications of some measures of multivariate skewness and kurtosis in testing normality and robustness studies. <u>Sankhya: The Indian</u> Journal of Statistics, Series B, 36(2):115–128.
- [31] Pewsey, A. (2001). Problems of inference for Azzalini's skew-normal distribution. Journal of Applied Statistics, 27:859–870.
- [32] Qi, L., Sun, W., and Wang, Y. (2007). Numerical multilinear algebra and its applications. Frontiers of Mathematics in China, 2(4):501–526.
- [33] Rao, C. and Rao, M. (1998). <u>Matrix algebra and its applications to statistics</u> and econometrics. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Singapore.
- [34] Rezvandehy, M. and Deutsch, C. V. (2018). Declustering experimental variograms by global estimation with fourth order moments. <u>Stochastic</u> Environmental Research and Risk Assessment, 32(1):261–277.
- [35] Robbins, H. (1948). The asymptotic distribution of the sum of a random number of random variables. <u>Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society</u>, 54(12):1151– 1161.
- [36] Scrucca, L., Fop, M., Murphy, T. B., and Raftery, A. E. (2016). mclust 5: clustering, classification and density estimation using Gaussian finite mixture models. The R Journal, 8(1):289–317.
- [37] Stuart, A. and Ord, K. (1994). <u>Kendall's Advanced Theory of Statistics</u>, volume
  1: Distribution Theory. Hodder Arnold, London, sixth edition.
- [38] Van Hulle, M. M. (2005). Edgeworth approximation of multivariate differential entropy. Neural Computation, 17(9):1903–1910.
- [39] Yanagihara, H. (2007). A family of estimators for multivariate kurtosis in a nonnormal linear regression model. Journal of Multivariate Analysis, 98:1–29.
- [40] Yanagihara, H., Tonda, T., and Matsumoto, C. (2005). The effects of nonnormality on asymptotic distributions of some likelihood ratio criteria for testing

covariance structures under normal assumption. <u>Journal of Multivariate Analysis</u>, 96:237–264.